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Theme

• This paper is based on the theme Legal issues and Women Empowerment with subthemes on Domestic Violence, Harassment at work places and police response to women issues
The Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013  No.13 OF 2013

• Passed by the Lok Sabha on 19 March, 2013 and by the Rajya Sabha on 21 March, 2013
• President’s assent on 2 April, 2013 and deemed to have come into force from 3rd day of February, 2013.
The Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013

- It amends sections 100, 228A, 354, 370, 370A, 375, 376, 376A, 376B, 376C, 376D and 509 of Indian Penal Code, 1860.
The Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013

The Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013

- It also amends sections 114, 119 and 146 of Indian Evidence Act, 1872. It also inserts new sections 53A in Indian Evidence Act, 1872.
- It also amends section 42 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.
Reforms in management of cases related to crime against women suggested by Justice Verma Committee

• A Rape Crisis Cell should be set up. The Cell should be immediately notified when an FIR in relation to sexual assault is made. The Cell must provide legal assistance to the victim.

• All police stations should have CCTVs at the entrance and in the questioning room.

• A complainant should be able to file FIRs online.

• Police officers should be duty bound to assist victims of sexual offences irrespective of the crime’s jurisdiction.

• Members of the public who help the victims should not be treated as wrong doers.

• The police should be trained to deal with sexual offences appropriately.

• Number of police personnel should be increased. Community policing should be developed by providing training to volunteers.
Other recommendations of Justice Verma Committee

- Electoral reforms
- Education reforms
Key Issues and Analysis:

• Under the Ordinance, penalties for certain offences are inconsistent. For instance, minimum punishment for gang assault by private persons is 20 years, and for gang assault by a police officer is 10 years.

• The Ordinance penalises certain acts which are also punishable under special laws such as SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. Punishments under the Ordinance are higher than under these laws.

• The Ordinance specifies the same punishment for penetrative and non-penetrative sexual assault. It does not provide a gradation of penalties on the basis of the gravity of the offence.

• The Bill and the Ordinance exempts un-consented penetration or touching of private parts for medical purposes from punishment.

• Age of consent has been increased from 16 to 18 years. There is a divergent view among various commissions on the age of consent.

• Marital sexual assault upon a woman is not an offence. This is at variance with the recommendation of certain commissions.
The changes made in the Act in comparison with the Ordinance is listed as follows:

**Acid attack:** Fine shall be just and reasonable to meet medical expenses for treatment of victim, while in the Ordinance it was fine up to Rupees 10 lakhs.

**Sexual harassment:** “Clause (v) any other unwelcome physical, verbal or non-verbal conduct of sexual nature" has been removed. Punishment for offence under clause (i) and (ii) has been reduced from five years of imprisonment to three years. The offence is no longer gender-neutral, only a man can commit the offence on a woman.

**Voyeurism:** The offence is no longer gender-neutral, only a man can commit the offence on a woman.
The changes made in the Act in comparison with the Ordinance is listed as follows:

**Stalking:** The offence is no longer gender-neutral, only a man can commit the offence on a woman. The definition has been reworded and broken down into clauses, The exclusion clause and the following sentence has been removed "or watches or spies on a person in a manner that results in a fear of violence or serious alarm or distress in the mind of such person, or interferes with the mental peace of such person, commits the offence of stalking". Punishment for the offence has been changed; A man committing the offence of stalking would be liable for imprisonment up to three years for the first offence, and shall also be liable to fine and for any subsequent conviction would be liable for imprisonment up to five years and with fine.

**Trafficking of person:** "Prostitution" has been removed from the explanation clause

**Rape:** The word *sexual assault* has been replaced back to *rape*. The offence is no longer gender-neutral, only a man can commit the offence on a woman. The clause related to touching of private parts has been removed.
Criticisms

The Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013 has been strongly criticised by several human rights and women's rights organisations for not including certain suggestions recommended by the Verma Committee Report like, marital rape, reduction of age of consent, amending Armed Forces (Special Powers) Act so that no sanction is needed for prosecuting an armed force personnel accused of a crime against woman.

Several provisions under the Act differ from the provisions in the ordinance. Under the Act, unlike in the Ordinance, the terms or watches or spies on a person in a manner that results in a fear of violence or serious alarm or distress in the mind of such person, or interferes with the mental peace of such person are not included as a part of the offence of stalking. Hence, the offence is limited to the physical act of following or contracting a person, provided that there has been a clear sign of disinterest, or to monitoring the use by a woman of the internet, email or any other forms of electronic communication.
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Introduction

Against the backdrop of the nation-wide outrage over the tragic Delhi gang-rape, Nirbhaya (Fearless) incident of December 16, 2012, propelled the Government of India (GOI) to drive the issue of violence against women (VAW) to the centre-stage of political discourse. Consequently, on December 22, 2012, GOI appointed a three-member judicial committee headed by the former Chief Justice of India, Justice J.S. Verma, who passed away on April 22, 2013, Justice Leila Seth and Gopal Subramanium requesting them to submit a report within 30 days. The key objective of the Commission was to review for possible amendments to the criminal law and suggest measures for faster trials and harsher penalties for vicious offences related to VAW. Taking further cognizance of the strident storm of public protests in general and a tribute to Nirbhaya (Fearless) in particular, on January 23, 2013, the commission submitted its recommendations by identifying 'lack of good governance' as the central cause of VAW. The commission goes on to criticise the government, the abysmal and old-fashioned police system alongside public apathy in tackling VAW, and thereby, recommends dramatic transformation in legislations. The recommendations are based on more than 70,000 suggestions received from stakeholders, social activists and public comprising eminent jurists, legal professionals, NGOs, women’s groups and civil society through varied methods: emails, posts and fax. A 631-page report consisting of 14 chapters (excluding Introduction, Methodology and Conclusions and Recommendations) include recommendations on laws related to rape, sexual harassment, trafficking, child sexual abuse, medical examination of victims, police, electoral and educational reforms.

Based on some of the recommendations of the Justice Verma Committee (JVC) report, an anti-rape Ordinance was enacted and signed by the Honourable President of India, Mr Pranab Mukherjee on February 03, 2013. The Criminal Law (Amendment) Bill, 2013, passed in the Parliament (Lok Sabha\(^1\) and Rajya Sabha\(^2\) respectively on March 19 and 21, 2013) replaced the promulgated Ordinance, which lapsed on April 04, 2013. However, as per the Gazette Notification New Delhi, Tuesday, April2, 2013,\(^3\) the word ‘bill’ has been replaced by the word ‘Act’.

**Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013**

Popularly, known as the Anti-rape Act, amends the Indian Penal Code (IPC), 1860, the Code of Criminal Procedure (CCP), 1973, the Indian Evidence Act (IEA), 1872 and the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, (PCSO), 2012. In a way, the Act is a radical expression of the fifty-seventh session of the Commission on the Status of Women held at the United Nations Headquarters, New York, March 04-15, 2013 as both the interventions focus on VAW with particular emphasis on women’s safety and security. Sadly, even after the declaration by the United Nations in 1993, that any type of VAW is a violation of human rights, incidents of VAW continue to be reported, which definitely is a tip of a very ugly iceberg. Yet, many more cases go unreported for various reasons (Viswanath and Mehrotra, 2007). The elusive goal of the commentary however, is to highlight those issues of the Act linked to women’s safety and mobility in public spaces (see, Table 1).

---

\(^1\) The house of people; the lower house of the Parliament of India.

\(^2\) The council of states elected by the states’ assemblies; the upper house of the Parliament of India.

Table 1: Comparison between the Existing Laws, JVC Report recommendation and Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offence/Issue</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Existing Laws</th>
<th>JVC report recommendation</th>
<th>Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disobedience of law by a public servant</td>
<td>Failure to record information in sexual offences cases; knowingly disobeying laws on investigation</td>
<td>No specific provision, IPC, 1860</td>
<td>Failure of a public servant to record information in relation to sexual offences should be specifically penalised under the Bill.</td>
<td>Punishable with rigorous imprisonment for six months to 2 years and fine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape resulting in death or vegetative state</td>
<td>Causing death or persistent vegetative state when committing rape.</td>
<td>Rape and murder dealt with as two separate offences. Rape: 7 years to life imprisonment, Murder: imprisonment for life or death, IPC, 1860.</td>
<td>Punishment 20 years up to life imprisonment. In case of gang rape resulting in death or persistent vegetative state punishment should extend to life imprisonment</td>
<td>Specific offence. Punishment 20 years to life imprisonment (rigorous imprisonment) or death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punishment for gang rape</td>
<td>Where a person is raped by one or more in a group of persons acting in furtherance of a common intention, each of these persons shall be deemed to have committed the offence of gang rape, regardless of their gender</td>
<td>10 years to life imprisonment and fine, IPC, 1860</td>
<td>Imprisonment for life shall mean imprisonment for the rest of that person’s natural life.</td>
<td>20 years to life imprisonment (rigorous imprisonment) and fine payable to the victim, that is reasonable to meet medical expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape by armed personnel</td>
<td>“Armed forces&quot; means the naval, military and air forces and includes any member of the Armed Forces constituted under any law for the time being in force, including the paramilitary forces and any auxiliary forces that are under the control of the Central Government or the State Government</td>
<td>No specific provision. Public servant includes armed personnel. Punishment: 10 years to life imprisonment and fine, IPC, 1860</td>
<td>Specifically penalises rape by armed personnel within the area they are deployed in. Armed personnel should be penalised with imprisonment for 7 to 10 years if they knew that sexual offences were being committed by their subordinates. The requirement for sanction to prosecute armed personnel should be removed in relation to sexual offences.</td>
<td>Specific offence. Shall be punished with rigorous imprisonment of either description for a term which shall not be less than seven years, but which may extend to imprisonment for life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibility of Hospital</td>
<td>Failure of hospitals and doctors to provide medical treatment to victims</td>
<td>No specific provision, IPC, 1860 and CCP, 1973</td>
<td>The victim must be taken to the nearest hospital. Medical examination report</td>
<td>Punishable with 1 year and/or fine. In cases of acid attacks or rape, all hospitals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid Attacks</td>
<td>Throwing of acid on women for a multitude of reasons, including alleged adultery, turning down advances from men, and also as a form of domestic violence. Causing permanent or partial deformity or burns on any person by throwing or administering acid.</td>
<td>No specific provision. Covered under grievous hurt. Punishment: up to 7 years imprisonment, IPC, 1860.</td>
<td>Whoever attempts to throw acid or corrosive or any burning substances on any person, or attempts to administer acid to any person, or attempts to use any other means to achieve the purpose of causing permanent or partial damage to any part or parts of the body of a person, shall be punished with rigorous imprisonment for a term which shall not be less than five years but which may extend to seven years, and shall also be liable to pay compensation to the victim adequate to meet at least the medical expenses incurred by the victim and immediately inform the police. (Public and private) are required to provide free medical treatment to the victim and must be prepared, preferably immediately after the examination, but most certainly on the same date as the examination and must be forwarded to the investigating agency forthwith immediately. All hospitals should co-operate with the police and preserve the samples likely to putrefy in their pathological facility till such time the police are able to complete their paper work for despatch to forensic lab test including DNA.</td>
<td>Specific offence. Punishable with 10 years or life imprisonment and reasonable fine amount to meet medical expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punishment for repeat offenders</td>
<td>Punishments for persons previously convicted of an offence of rape, custodial rape, and gang rape.</td>
<td>No specific provision, IPC, 1860</td>
<td>Shall be punished with imprisonment for life, which means imprisonment for the rest of that person's natural life.</td>
<td>Specific offence. Life imprisonment (rigorous imprisonment) or death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of Consent</td>
<td>Legal age at which a person is considered competent to give sexual consent (sexual intercourse)</td>
<td>Specific provision, since the 1983 amendments in the rape law in the IPC, 1860</td>
<td>16 years</td>
<td>18 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touching</td>
<td>Physical contact involving unwelcome and explicit sexual overtures.</td>
<td>Outraging a woman’s modesty. Punishment: imprisonment for maximum 2 years and fine, IPC, 1860</td>
<td>Existing provisions of the IPC should be deleted. Intentional touching of a sexual nature, without the person’s consent should be penalised with 5 years rigorous imprisonment.</td>
<td>Outraging a woman’s modesty: 1 to 5 years imprisonment and fine. Physical contact involving unwelcome and explicit sexual overtures: up to 3 years imprisonment and/or fine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalking</td>
<td>Following a woman, attempting to foster personal interaction despite indication of victim’s disinterest, spying, monitoring electronic communications</td>
<td>No specific provision.</td>
<td>Whoever commits the offence shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which shall not be less than one year but which may extend to three years, and shall also be liable to fine.</td>
<td>Specific offence. 1st offence punishable with 1 to 3 years imprisonment (Bailable). 2nd offence punishable with up to 5 years imprisonment (Non-bailable).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexually coloured remarks/Use of words or gestures to insult a woman’s modesty</td>
<td>Making of sexually coloured remarks by a man.</td>
<td>No specific provision for this offence. Verbally assaulting a woman’s modesty is punishable with one year imprisonment and/or fine, IPC, 1860.</td>
<td>Use of words or gestures towards a person, or in his presence, which create an unwelcome threat of a sexual nature, should be punishable with imprisonment for one year and/or fine.</td>
<td>Specific offence. Punishable with imprisonment for up to one year and/or fine. Non Bailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand for Sexual Flavour</td>
<td>Demand or request by a man for sexual favours.</td>
<td>No specific provision for this offence. Verbally assaulting a woman’s modesty is punishable with one year imprisonment and/or fine, IPC, 1860.</td>
<td>Those who demand or request for sexual favour should be punishable with imprisonment for one year and/or fine.</td>
<td>Specific offence. Punishable with up to 3 years’ rigorous imprisonment and/or fine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault to disrobe a woman</td>
<td>Forcing a woman to undress and lie in naked condition.</td>
<td>No specific offence. Outraging a woman’s modesty is punishable with</td>
<td>Whoever assaults or uses criminal force to any woman or abets such act with the</td>
<td>Specific offence. Punishable with 3 to 7 years imprisonment and fine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Voyeurism</strong></td>
<td>Watching a woman when she is engaging in a private act including sexual acts, use of lavatory, or when private parts are exposed.</td>
<td>No specific offence, IPC, 1860. Information Technology Act, 2000 protects both men and women. Punishment: up to 3 years and/or fine up to ₹2 lakh.</td>
<td>Penalise the act of capturing images of, or watching, a woman engaging in sexual acts or when her genitals are exposed with imprisonment for 1 to 3 years and fine.</td>
<td>Specific offence. Only protects women. 1st offence punishable with 1 to 3 years imprisonment and fine. 2nd offence punishable with 3 to 7 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other forms of rape</strong></td>
<td>Rape includes un-consented penetration of the mouth, anus, urethra or vagina with the penis or other object; un-consented oral sex.</td>
<td>In the absence of penile-vaginal penetration offence of outraging modesty of a woman punishable with maximum 2 years and fine, IPC, 1860</td>
<td>Is not an offence if the wife is over 16 years of age.</td>
<td>Specific offence. Punishable with 7 years to life imprisonment (rigorous imprisonment).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital rape</strong></td>
<td>Forceful sexual acts committed without the consent of the partner.</td>
<td>Should be an offence regardless of the age of the wife.</td>
<td>Provided in case of rape, custodial rape, rape of a judicially separated wife, abuse of power to obtain consent. Punishable with imprisonment for up to 2 years, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>Protection extended to victims of repeat offenders as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forcibly showing pornography</strong></td>
<td>Forcibly showing pornography by a man to a woman.</td>
<td>No specific provision, IPC, 1860</td>
<td>Provided in case of rape, custodial rape, rape of a judicially separated wife, abuse of power to obtain consent. Punishable with imprisonment for up to 2 years, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>Protection extended to victims of repeat offenders as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protection against disclosure of identity of victim</strong></td>
<td>Provided in case of rape, custodial rape, rape of a judicially separated wife, abuse of power to obtain consent. Punishable with imprisonment for up to 2 years, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>Provided in case of rape, custodial rape, rape of a judicially separated wife, abuse of power to obtain consent. Punishable with imprisonment for up to 2 years, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>Provided in case of rape, custodial rape, rape of a judicially separated wife, abuse of power to obtain consent. Punishable with imprisonment for up to 2 years, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>Provided in case of rape, custodial rape, rape of a judicially separated wife, abuse of power to obtain consent. Punishable with imprisonment for up to 2 years, CCP, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Requirement</td>
<td>Note</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording of information by woman officer</td>
<td>No special requirement for woman officers to record information about sexual offence cases, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>In case of acid attack, sexual harassment, disrobing, voyeurism, outraging a woman’s modesty, rape (of all kinds) information would be recorded by woman officer.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording of information in case victim is physically/mentally disabled</td>
<td>No special requirement, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>In case of VAW like acid attack, sexual harassment, disrobing, voyeurism, outraging a woman’s modesty, rape information would be recorded by woman officer at a place of the victim’s choice, in the presence of special educators. Required to be video graphed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exception to police’s power to require attendance by witnesses</td>
<td>Men below 15 years of age and women are not required to give evidence at a police station. Evidence to be taken from home, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>Applies to men below 15 or above 65 years of age; women and physically and mentally disabled persons.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judicial Magistrate to record statement</td>
<td>No special requirement in case of sexual offences, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>Judicial Magistrate to record statement of the victim immediately after the police is informed in case of acid attack, rape, sexual harassment, disrobing, voyeurism etc. In case the victim is differently abled, the assistance of interpreters has to be taken and has to be video graphed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination of victim at trial stage</td>
<td>No special protections to victims of sexual offences, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>The statement recorded by the Judicial Magistrate will be treated as the evidence of the victim presented by the prosecutor. Protects the right to be cross-examined.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection against confrontation of victim by accused</td>
<td>No special protections to victims of sexual offences, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>In case the victim of rape or a sexual offence is less than 18 years of age care has to be taken that she is not confronted by the accused.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement of sanction to prosecute public servant</td>
<td>Sanction is required to prosecute public servants in all cases, including cases of rape, outraging a woman’s modesty, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>Requirement of sanction removed under the CCP, 1973. Such requirement may continue under other laws.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement of satisfaction of prima facie facts in case of rape</td>
<td>No such requirement, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>In case of rape of a judicially separated wife by her husband, the court has to be prima facie satisfied of the facts constituting the offence before it takes cognizance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement to fast track</td>
<td>No requirement to fast track sexual offence cases, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>Trial to be held on day-to-day basis. In case of rape cases, trial to be completed in 2 months of filing of charge sheet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation</td>
<td>The State government has to prepare a compensation scheme for rehabilitation of victims. The state or district legal service authority is to make compensation awards, CCP, 1973</td>
<td>Compensation awarded by the State is in addition to what is payable by the accused.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous sexual history</td>
<td>No specific provision barring the use of previous sexual history in rape cases, IEA, 1872</td>
<td>Bars the use of past sexual history in determining consent of the victim. Bars evidence or questions in the cross-examination of the victim as to the general immoral character of the victim or past sexual history with any person.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presumption of consent</td>
<td>Required the victim of rape to</td>
<td>Shifts the onus on to the accused to prove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
prove that she did not consent, IEA, 1872

| Special provisions for evidence by differently abled persons | No special provision, IEA, 1872 | Court to use the assistance of interpreters to take evidence of differently abled persons. Such evidence to be considered oral evidence when given in open court. Statement to be video recorded. |
| Punishment for rape | 7 years to life imprisonment and fine, PCSO, 2012 | 10 years to life imprisonment and fine. |
| Gang rape of child | 10 years to life imprisonment and fine, PCSO, 2012 | 20 years to life imprisonment and fine. |
| Disrobing | 3 years and fine, PCSO, 2012 | 3 to 7 years imprisonment and fine. |


**Violence against Women**

Subtle analyses of the type of offences (Table 1) specifically related to women as a 'category', reinforced by the VAW statistics, National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB), Ministry of Home affairs, GOI unfold that gender biases remain deeply ingrained in society, sustained by the institutions of patriarchy. NCRB (2011) recorded a total of 228650 incidents of VAW [42968 (18.79%) - molestation, 8570 (3.75%) - sexual harassment, 24206 (10.58%) - rape, 35565 - kidnapping and abduction, 8618-dowry deaths and 99135 (43.35%) - cruelty by husband and relatives]. Appalling statistics unpack that from 1953 to 2011, rape rose by 873%, which is three times faster, when all VAW put together (Bidwai, 2012). Shockingly, a woman is raped every 22 minutes; every 58 minutes a daughter-in-law burnt for dowry and every 51 minutes a woman faces harassment in the public spaces of India. Ironically, NCRB (2011) also unfolds that 7112 cases of rape were committed against children, where one in three of the total rape victims is a child. That said, Bidwai (2012: 6) argues that the key reason, which galvanise VAW in public spaces is the “sexual frustration and machismo.” This is further augmented by the July 09, 2012 Guwahati molestation case followed by Nirbhaya and similar other incidents that continue to be reported.

Anglo-American scholar, MacKinnon (1979) argues that harassment is as an exertion of power to influence the broad-scale system of male-domination and hetero-sexual nature of India. Ironically, NCRB (2011) also unfolds that 7112 cases of rape were committed against children, where one in three of the total rape victims is a child. That said, Bidwai (2012: 6) argues that the key reason, which galvanise VAW in public spaces is the “sexual frustration and machismo.” This is further augmented by the July 09, 2012 Guwahati molestation case followed by Nirbhaya and similar other incidents that continue to be reported.

Anglo-American scholar, MacKinnon (1979) argues that harassment is as an exertion of power to influence the broad-scale system of male-domination and hetero-sexual nature of...
the society (here, Indian society), which manifests subordination of ‘women as a class’ in general and structural subordination of women (Kabeer, 2013) in particular. For instances, perpetration of harassment by upper class/caste men over women of lower class/castes; by the police, who often demean a woman complainant and harass the complainant by quizzing vulgar details; by the armed forces, who use VAW (particularly rape) as ‘a weapon of war’ especially in the conflict ravaged zones of India: North East India, Kashmir, Jharkhand, to annihilate the community in general and progeny of the family in particular (Kabeer, 2013), thereby, gain control by paralysing the entire socio-political process.

Dreadfully, according to a study conducted by UNICEF (2012)\(^5\) reveals that 57% Indian boys aged 15-19 years justify wife-battering. In a similar study by the Thomson Reuters Foundation,\(^6\) unfold that 52% of Indian women themselves accept some form of domestic violence by men against women. Such staggering revelations echoes that gender remains stratified in neoliberal modern India. These underlying attitudes, which ignite widening gender inequalities, are perhaps far more frightening and worrying with profound consequences, when it comes to the everyday practices of an individual and or groups. What is more surprising and shameful is that in case of VAW, it is the ‘victim’ who is blamed in our society rather than the perpetrator (Lahiri and Bandyopadhyay, 2012). In the said context, though unsurprising, the misogynistic rants made by some of the members of Parliament/State legislatures, spiritual gurus in relation to the Nirbhaya case unmask an inherent gender inequality in the attribution of intent. Some of the voices of misogyny on how women invite rape upon themselves are:

- “Only 5-6 people are not the culprits. The victim is as guilty as her rapists... She should have called the culprits brothers and begged before them to stop... This could have saved her dignity and life. Can one hand clap? I don’t think so,“
- “Such crimes (rapes) hardly take place in ‘Bharat’, but they occur frequently in ‘India’.”
- “We have told the chief minister in the assembly that the government will pay money to compensate rape victims. What is your fee? If you are raped, what will be your fee?”
- “Jab tak koi mahila tedhi nazar se hasegi nahi tab tak koi aadmi usse chhedega nahi (No man will harass a woman unless led on by her)”.

The list of such statements continues unabated. Unarguably, the deep-rooted prejudices that extend to women in general and the pervasive VAW in public spaces have often restricted women’s mobility.

Scholars argue that most Indian women maintain a delusion of safety barrier by drawing on boundaries to their bodies and personal spaces through the practices of Indian femininity while accessing and negotiating public spaces (Phadke, 2005; 2007; Phadke et al., 2011) as the onus of remaining safe lies squarely on the women. Arguably, such restrictive approaches reflect that women remain vulnerable in accessing public spaces.

**Will the Act enhance women’s safety?**

Access to violence free and safe public space is the basic right of a woman. Yet, the greatest challenge relates to the alarming threat to women’s safety and freedom of movement in public spaces. Notwithstanding the Act (please refer to Table 1) advocates its focus on VAW and seeks to provide stringent punishment to the perpetrators of extreme cases of rapes and gang rapes. However, the question arises will

---


'longer jail terms' or ‘capital punishment’ enhance women’s safety and security in public, although for sure such law may inculcate a sense of fear among the culprits.

For the first time, the Act endeavours punishable offence for those police officers who fail to register First Information Report (FIR). The Act also addresses penalties for other abhorrent forms of crime (stalking, touching, sexually coloured remarks, voyeurism, human trafficking and acid attacks, awarding a minimum 10-year jail term to the perpetrators and reasonable fine to meet the medical expenses of the victim). However, it remains unclear as to how the perpetrators of the offences like touching, stalking, and sexually coloured remarks would be accused and subsequently prove the assailant as guilty.

Although, there is no comprehensive statistics on the number of acid attacks, a study conducted by Cornell University (2011) reports that in between January 2002-October 2010, 153 cases of acid attacks were reported, which not only disfigured the victims, but also ruined and isolated their lives. Disgracefully, a bottle of hazardous chemical is easily available in the informal market, which is relatively cheap (₹50), but sufficient to disfigure a woman. However, the GOI is yet to enforce strict regulation of production, storage, distribution and sale of these concentrated chemicals. At the same time, the Act fails to frame legislation that eliminates easy access to acid, though Tamil Nadu has already introduced a legislation to control its sale.

In addition, the Act also fails to address contentious issues like ‘marital rape’ or ‘legal immunity’ for army officials. The JVC report suggests that any army official accused of sexual assault or rape should be tried under civilian law and should not be protected by the law that guarantees absolute protection. Although, the Act considers ‘rape by armed personnel’ within the area they are posted in as an offence, with punishment of no less than seven years, and which may extend to imprisonment for life and fine, however, this offence is meaningless for the military officers/soldiers deployed in the states of North East India, Jharkhand and Jammu and Kashmir, where the 1958 draconian Armed Forces Special Powers Act (AFSPA) is still in force, which guarantees effective immunity to the armed officials. This means that not only those victims of brazen rapes, murders and other brutal sexual violence by the armed officers where the AFSPA functions may fail to seek justice, but also the perpetrators of these heinous VAW may never face prosecution; however, they may be awarded gallantry. It is worth noting here that AFSPA continues to operate despite the continuous silent opposition by Irom Sharmila, the Iron Lady of Manipur, who has been on the world’s longest hunger strike, since November 02, 2000 demanding GOI to repeal AFSPA.

Further, the Act has increased the age of consent to 18 years, which remained 16 since 1983. Critics argue that raising the age of consent to 18 leaves ample room to wrongly prosecute teenagers (boys) below 18 (more precisely 16-18) years as rapists or offenders of sexual assaults simply for kissing, hugging or even having consensual sex with a female counterpart of his age (Krishnan, 2013).

Hence, the Act falls short off what many feminist organisations seeks for, and therefore, criticises the Act as ‘tokenism’.


8 On the night of February 23 1991, in a village called Kunan-Poshpora, located in Kashmir’s remote Kupwara District, at least 53 women were mass raped by Indian soldiers (Joshi, 1999). However, justice is yet to be sought to these survivors, Mass Rape Survivors Still Wait for Justice in Kashmir, TrustLaw: A Thomson Reuters Foundation Service (2012, March 07), http://www.webcitation.org/68ICeGR3T (accessed February 03, 2013)
What needs to be done?
Despite its flaws, the Act bears a progressive insight to make an impact and fight a battle against VAW. However, the Act by itself is not sufficient to redress and seek justice for VAW. For this, the GOI needs to make colossal investments in building necessary infrastructure to deal with the crimes supplemented by meaningful reforms in judiciary (building fast track women’s courts, more engagement of women lawyers, women doctors to examine victims) and modernisation of the police system across whole of India.

In the wake of the Nirbhaya incident, the GOI has announced a Nirbhaya fund of ₹ 10 billion in the 2013-14, Union Budget, to enhance women’s safety and security in public spaces, a tribute to the brave soul. As a starting point, perhaps the Nirbhaya fund can be best utilised to conduct a safety audit in each state/union territory to redesign the architecture of its towns and cities and vis-à-vis assess the vulnerable spots that are most likely to invite upon VAW, plug these flaws and develop strategies for crime prevention.

Alongside, it remains well documented that the streetlights of most Indian cities remain inadequate and fail to function properly. The JVC report too (pp. 420) recommends, “street lighting everywhere would provide more safety since dark areas are more prone to facilitate crimes”. The underlying irony is that though most cities adhere to the norms of the Ministry of Urban Development and provide street lights every 40 metres bearing a minimum illumination capacity of 35 lux, however, most of these lights (in most towns and cities) remain either dysfunctional or the level of performance remain very poor with a very low gleaming potency.\(^9\) Hence, all the states must take urgent steps to revamp and strengthen highly efficient public lighting facilities, perhaps using smarter lights. Possibly, the urban spaces of each state must initiate to install Light Emitting Diode (LED) lamps, which are deemed as highly efficient having 50,000 hours of life. It is evident that although initial installation of these lamps involves higher capital costs, but being highly energy efficient, it would entail higher savings in terms of its operations and maintenances. This will definitely spur the safety and security of the urban spaces and boost public confidence.

A 2012 study conducted by the Bureau of Police Research & Development (BPR&D) reveals shortage of manpower in the police departments (Table 2). For every 568 persons, there is only one policeman and the ratio of police to population is 176.2 police per one hundred thousand of population. On a scrutiny, a minimum 88 persons are looked after by one police officer in Tripura and Manipur through to a maximum of 1,187 and 1,133 respectively in West Bengal and Bihar. The case of Nirbhaya, who was murdered in the moving bus (without permit) having black tinted windows, and which passed through successive check posts without being confronted demonstrate the case of poor policing. It is hard to imagine, when the nation’s capital lacks security, what could be the conditions of other states and union territories?

Further, JVC report also unfolds that not only police are apathetic towards gender justice but also (as stated above) are insensitive in tackling VAW. Alongside, stark evidence shows that due to the very low availability of women police officers, many women victims fail to complain a sex crime (Bhattacharyya, in press): there are approximately 84,479 women (Table 2) in different police departments out of an all India total (Civil Police + Armed Police) of 2,124,596, which merely constitute 3.98% of the total police force. However, the Act requires that a female officer should record all information in the case of offences like acid attack, sexual harassment, disrobing, voyeurism, outraging a woman’s modesty, rape (of all kinds). Hence, the GOI urges all states/union territories to increase the number of female police officers to 33% of the total police strength.

Table 2 Population per Policeman, Sanctioned and Actual (2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>PP (SPS)</th>
<th>PP (APS)</th>
<th>ASWP</th>
<th>PP (SPS)</th>
<th>PP (APS)</th>
<th>ASWP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>20062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>2031</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>1456</td>
<td>1485</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>1931</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>3675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujrat</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>2789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>3077</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>5698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jammu and Kashmir</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>2185</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>10118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>3348</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>2586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerela</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>3001</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>1498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>3348</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>1658</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Importantly, ‘real policing’ for ordinary citizens remain far lower as approximately 3 police officers are recruited for providing securities for each Very Important Person (VIP)\(^{10}\), which is far more than the actual sanctioned strength of police officers on this matter, though for some VIPs, the number may sometimes escalate even more. Strikingly, a good number of police officers need to be deployed at the time of a major political event or a VIP visit, which severely affects night patrolling and other crime-prevention measures. Very importantly, there remains a dire need in implementing a model of change in real policing for ordinary citizens alongside rectifying the perennial annoyances (inadequate logistics including lack of forensic facilities or specialised crime units, meagre training, and poor intelligence) necessary for making the entire police force more efficient.

Further, NCRB (2011) data unpacks that approximately 83.6% pendency of rape cases in courts across the country. The quick justice sought to the young woman of the Guwahati molestation case by the honourable Chief Judicial Magistrate’s court, Guwahati is an excellent example of fast track court. In the said context, the Act requires that rape trials should be held on fast track court on a day-to-day basis and completed within two months of filing the charge sheets (Table 1). Hence, for the laws to be effective, the infrastructure needs to be built as quickly as possible: for without meaningful reforms in judiciary and police, the Act will remain only as a symbolic tool, no matter as to how stringent the laws are on the papers.

**Conclusion**

To sum up, the role of the state remains paramount in tackling VAW. Therefore, the law implementing agencies should be adequately provided strategic training to tackle any eventuality with dexterity—even if it necessitate increasing the number sufficiently. Alongside, as also suggested by the JVC report, there remains a desperate need to improve public-police relationship, possibly through a good will venture (perhaps training the police officials as well as the public in gender sensitive programmes). Challenge therefore, lies in scaling the mechanism of coordination and cooperation from few to all stakeholders of the state. To be more precise, the executive, who is delegated with the responsibility of

\(^{10}\) 3 cops to protect each VIP, just 1 cop for 761 citizens (2012, April 02), *The Times of India*, http://articles.timesofindia.indiatimes.com/2012-04-02/india/31274675_1_vip-security-protectees-police-personnel (accessed March 03, 2013)
investigating a trial in a judiciary, should bear a sense of accountability (rather than misusing its power) in ferreting out the actuality of the facts so that the outcome of the trial turns out to be unbiased, fair and flawless. At the same time, the incitement and provocation alarmingly disseminated by the media and other information agencies must be shackled appropriately in compliance to decency, morality and social order- the constitutional mandate. Last, and in line with the JVC report, reformation in curriculum right from the primary level of education has to be brought; embracing value based moral lessons; incorporating perception reforms through gender-blind programmes and creating congenial atmosphere in the domestic, social and institutional sectors.
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